



**REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE
SHELBY COUNTY SHERIFF**

Calendar Year 1999

**EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR.
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET**

**144 CAPITOL ANNEX
FRANKFORT, KY 40601
TELE. (502) 564-5841
FAX (502) 564-2912**

CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	6
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.....	11



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky

Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor

John P. McCarty, Secretary

Finance and Administration Cabinet

Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet

Honorable Bobby Stratton, Shelby County Judge/Executive

Honorable Harold E. Tingle, Shelby County Sheriff

Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Shelby County Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 1999. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office is required to prepare the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. This cash basis system does not require the maintenance of a general fixed asset group or general long-term debt group of accounts. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statement is not intended to present financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 1999, in conformity with the basis of accounting described above.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Bobby Stratton, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Harold E. Tingle, Shelby County Sheriff
Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated March 17, 2000, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's compliance with certain laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ed Hatchett", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.
Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -
March 17, 2000

SHELBY COUNTY
HAROLD E. TINGLE, SHERIFF
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

Calendar Year 1999

Receipts

State Fees For Services:

Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$ 19,864	
Cabinet For Human Resources	<u>8,907</u>	\$ 28,771

Circuit Court Clerk:

Sheriff Security Service	\$ 36,415	
Fines and Fees Collected	<u>4,418</u>	40,833

Fiscal Court		380
--------------	--	-----

County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		12,766
---------------------------------	--	--------

Commission On Taxes Collected		368,765
-------------------------------	--	---------

Fees Collected For Services:

Auto Inspections	\$ 12,590	
Accident and Police Reports	672	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	13,815	
Serving Papers	<u>28,044</u>	55,121

Other:

Unclaimed Property	\$ 2,065	
Insurance Reimbursement	1,404	
Refunds	940	
Mental Inquests	2,556	
Sheriff Penalty	<u>57,585</u>	64,550

Interest Earned		16,217
-----------------	--	--------

Borrowed Money:

State Advancement		<u>92,562</u>
-------------------	--	---------------

Gross Receipts (Carried Forward)		\$ 679,965
----------------------------------	--	------------

SHELBY COUNTY
HAROLD E. TINGLE, SHERIFF
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES
Calendar Year 1999
(Continued)

Gross Receipts (Brought Forward) \$ 679,965

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements

Personnel Services-

Deputies' Gross Salaries \$ 136,845

Part-Time Gross Salaries 543

Employee Benefits-

Employer's Share Social Security 14,529

Employer Paid Health Insurance 10,526

Contracted Services-

Advertising 3,840

Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs 5,074

Materials and Supplies-

Office Materials and Supplies 5,361

Uniforms 1,992

Law Enforcement Supplies 5,136

Auto Expense-

Patrol Vehicle Expense 24,400

Mileage 2,418

Transport Prisoners 5,969

Other Charges-

Conventions and Travel 486

Training 119

Dues 1,619

Postage 4,746

Computer Expense 1,657

Telephone 4,670

Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits 8,895

Miscellaneous 839

Debt Service:

State Advancement 92,562

Vehicle Payments 60,170

Total Disbursements \$ 392,396

SHELBY COUNTY
HAROLD E. TINGLE, SHERIFF
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES
Calendar Year 1999
(Continued)

Disbursements (Continued)

Net Receipts	\$ 287,569
Less: Statutory Maximum	<u>61,891</u>
Excess Fees Due County for Calendar Year 1999	\$ 225,678
Payments to County Treasurer - March 15, 1999	<u>225,678</u>
Balance Due at Completion of Audit	<u><u>\$ 0</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statement.

SHELBY COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 1999

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting pursuant to KRS 68.210 as recommended by the State Local Finance Officer. Revenues and related assets are generally recognized when received rather than when earned. Certain expenses are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred, including capital asset purchases. Certain other expenses are recognized when a revenue and the related asset can be associated with a corresponding liability due another governmental entity.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year..

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement System. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 8.22 percent through June 30, 1999 and 7.28 percent from July 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 18.85 percent through June 30, 1999 and 17.55 percent from July 1, 1999 to December 31, 1999.

SHELBY COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
December 31, 1999
(Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 1999, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

Note 4. Vehicle Payments

The Sheriff is reimbursing fiscal court for the purchase of a fleet of vehicles used by the Sheriff's office. In 1998, ten vehicles were purchased at a total cost of \$202,950. The first of forty-eight monthly payments of \$4,228, at zero percent interest, out of excess fees began in July 1998. These vehicles were paid off by 1998 excess fees in 1999. In 1999 three vehicles were purchased by fiscal court for use by the Sheriff at a cost of \$60,171. The Sheriff made one payment of \$9,433 out of 1999 fees and the remainder will be paid off in 2000, by making 12 monthly payments of \$4,228. As of December 31, 1999 the balance owed fiscal court was \$50,738.

Note 5. DARE Account

The Sheriff has a DARE account, which had receipts of \$93 and disbursements of \$261 during 1999. The balance of the DARE account as of December 31, 1999, was \$1,475.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL
REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.
Auditor of Public Accounts

Honorable Bobby Stratton, Shelby County Judge/Executive
Honorable Harold E. Tingle, Shelby County Sheriff
Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Shelby County Sheriff as of December 31, 1999, and have issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2000. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Shelby County Sheriff's financial statement as of December 31, 1999, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Shelby County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a material weakness.

Honorable Bobby Stratton, Shelby County Judge/Executive
Honorable Harold E. Tingle, Shelby County Sheriff
Members of the Shelby County Fiscal Court
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ed Hatchett", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr.
Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed -
March 17, 2000

